

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 87 of 2026

Abhishek Singh, Age-35 years, S/o Surendra Singh, R/o 310,
Zone No.1-B, Birsanagar, Jamshedpur, Chotta Gobindpur, P.O.
Telco, P.S. Telco Gobindpur, Dist. East Singhbhum, Jharkhand.

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... Opp. Party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ankit Apurva , Adv.

Mr. Rahul Pandey , Adv.

For the State : Mr. Sunil Kr. Dubey , Addl.. PP

02 / 08.06.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Airport P.S. Case No. 40/2024 corresponding to S.T. Case No. 783 of 2024 which stands registered u/s 140(2), 140(3), 61(2) of the BNS and Section 25(1-B)A, 26, 35 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this is the third journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail as his earlier bail application was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 31.01.2025 passed in B.A. No. 10588 of 2024 and his earlier bail application was also rejected vide order dated 18.09.2025 passed in B.A. no. 5904 of 2025. It is next submitted that the fresh ground for admitting the petitioner to regular bail is that PW1 has been examined after rejection of the earlier bail application of the petitioner and he has supported the case of the prosecution. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in jail custody since 01.09.2025, as mentioned in para 12 of this bail application and the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with

the trial of the case hence, the petitioner be admitted to regular bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view the serious allegation against the petitioner and as the prayer of the petitioner has already been rejected hence, there is no fresh ground to admit the petitioner to bail, more so when there is every chance of the petitioner absconding, if released on bail and also there is chance of the petitioner tampering with the evidence. It is therefore submitted that the petitioner ought not be released on bail at this stage.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner as well as the chance of his tampering with the evidence and absconding, if released on bail, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the above-named petitioner be released on bail at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected.

(ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)

Dated 08.06.2026
Smita/-