

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**B.A. No. 265 of 2026**

Kapil Dev Bhagat @ Kapildev Bhakat, S/o Manoranjan Bhakat

... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... .. **Opp. Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner

: Mr. Vishal Kumar Trivedi, Advocate

For the Opp. Party

: Mr. Shailesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

04/6th April 2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with Jadugora P.S. Case No. 44/2025 corresponding to Cyber Crime Case No. 36/2025 for the offence registered under Section 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, now said to have been pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum-Spl. Judge (Cyber Crime), East Singhbhum, Jamshedpur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in custody since 04.08.2025. The learned counsel submits that one phone and two SIMs have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner, which is alleged to have been used for commission of cyber offence. He further submits that three passbooks of different bank accounts of the petitioner and one pass book of his daughter have been said to involved in the commission of offence the alleged offence and the bank accounts of the petitioner as well as his daughter have been frozen. He submits that 4 online complaints alleging cyber fraud have been lodged against the petitioner, but the statement of the victims under Section 180 of BNSS have not been recorded and charge-sheet has been submitted.

3. Learned counsel for the opposite party-State has opposed the prayer and has submitted that huge money transactions to the extent of Rs. 60,00,000/- has taken place in the bank accounts of the petitioner and his daughter and four online complaints have been lodged in connection with the bank accounts of the petitioner. He submits that it's a case of organized crime.

4. To this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the co-accused, namely, Keshav Chandra Bhakat has been enlarged on bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in B.A. No. 955 of 2026 vide order dated 30.03.2026 against whom 18 online complaints were found.

5. The case diary shows that investigation is still going on.

6. The case record reveals that one more case of similar nature has been registered against the petitioner whose details have been mentioned in the counter-affidavit.

7. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the serious nature of allegation and involvement of huge amount in connection with the alleged cyber fraud against the petitioner in connection with bank accounts of the petitioner and his daughter which have already been frozen and the petitioner also has another criminal case of similar nature registered against him as per the counter affidavit, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage. Hence, this bail application is rejected.

8. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned through 'e-mail/FAX'.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 06.04.2026

Uploaded On: 07.04.2026

Mukul/-