

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Revision (**Filing**) No. 29790 of 2025

Vikram Kumar Petitioner
Versus
1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Niloy Kumar Jha Opposite parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Gautam Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite party no. 2 : Mr. Rahul Pandey, Advocate

4/05.05.2026 I. A. No. 5532 of 2026:

Mr. Gautam Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this application and the same is dismissed as not pressed.

I. A. No. 887 of 2026:

Heard Mr. Gautam Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Rahul Pandey, learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2.

This interlocutory application has been preferred for exemption to surrender in terms of Rule 159 of the Jharkhand High Court Rules, 2001.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is suffering from severe back pain and according to him, the same is a sufficient cause for allowing this application.

Learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 opposed the prayer made in this application.

A medical prescription has been brought on record from which it appears that the petitioner is suffering from lower backache, but the same would not be an exceptional circumstance or a sufficient cause for acceding to the prayer made by the petitioner in this application.

Consequently, this interlocutory application stands dismissed.

I.A. No. 5533 of 2026:

Since the prayer for exemption to surrender has already been rejected in I. A. No. 887 of 2026, this interlocutory application also stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)