

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No.4088 of 2023

Smt. Pinki Rawani, wife of late Dhiren Rawani, aged about 43 years, resident of Flat No.302, 3rd Floor, Balaji Majestic, Mithu Road, Bank More, PO Dhanbad P.S Bank More, Dist. Dhanbad. Petitioner (s)

-- Versus --

Union of India through CBI (Superintendent of Police EO-VI{E.OVI-R}, resident of Quarter No.D/3 and D/4, Dindayal Nagar, PO Morabadi and PS Bariatu, District Ranchi Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner(s)	:-	Mr. Shiv Shankar Jee, Advocate
For the CBI	:-	Mr. Prashant Pallav, Advocate

6/24.04.2026 This petition has been assigned to this Bench, and that is how, it has been listed today before this Bench.

2. The prayer in this petition has been made for quashing of the order taking cognizance as well as the entire criminal proceeding initiated against the petitioner in connection with RC Case No.12(s) 2016 EOVI registered under sections 120B read with section 420, 409, 471 of the IPC pending in the court of learned Special Judicial Magistrate, Ranchi.

3. Mr. Shiv Shankar Jee, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he is associate of Mr. Prakash Chandra Roy, the learned counsel for the petitioner and from the office of Mr. Prakash Chandra Roy, the learned counsel, the petitioner has taken the No Objection Certificate and in view of that he submits that his office is not in a position to argue the matter. He next submits that the NOC has already been taken one month before.

4. Mr. Prashant Pallav, the learned counsel for the CBI is present.

4. This petition is still pending and the petitioner has taken No Objection Certificate from the office of Mr. Prakash Chandra Roy, the learned counsel, and if such a situation is there, it was incumbent upon the petitioner to make alternative arrangement, either appointing another Advocate to pursue his case or to appear in-person. But, the petitioner has not taken any such step, which prima-facie suggest that petitioner has lost interest in the matter. As such, this petition is, hereby, dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

SI/
24.04.2026