

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**C.M.P. No. 20 of 2026**

Jaganath Sharma, aged about 79 years, S/o Late Ram Prasad Sharma,
Resident of Qr. No. 2208, D Type, Sector 4/G, B.S. City, P.O. & P.S. Sector
IV Bokaro, District Bokaro, Jharkhand

.....**Petitioner(s)**

Vrs.

1. The State of Jharkhand
 2. The SAIL/Bokaro Steel City through Director-In-Charge, SAIL/ Bokaro Steel City, Ispat Bhawan, Admn. Building, B.S City, P.O, P.s & District Bokaro, Jharkhand
 3. The Estate Officer, B.S. City, P.O, P.s & District Bokaro, Jharkhand
 4. The Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro, Collectorate Building, P.O, P.S & District Bokaro, Jharkhand
 5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bokaro, Collectorate Building, P.O, P.S & District Bokaro, Jharkhand
- **Opposite Party(s)**

.....

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioner(s)	: Mr. Rishu Ranjan, Advocate
For the O.P.(s)-State	: Mr. Varun Prabhakar, AC to GP-III
For the O.P No.2	: Mr. Shresth Gautam, Advocate

02/21.01.2026 The present C.M.P. has been filed for the following relief:

“i) For an appropriate order for quashing the order dated 19.11.2025 (Annexure 3) passed by Shri Anil Kumar Mishra, No. 2, Learned Principal District Judge, Bokaro in connection with Civil. Misc. Appeal No. 14 of 2025 whereby and where under, the appeal filed by the petitioner has been rejected thereby holding that the petitioner is an unauthorized occupant of Quarter No. 2208, D Type from 01.10.2007 and further affirmed the order of eviction dated 05.05.2025 passed by respondent no. 3 in A/E Case No. 93/2016.

And

ii) For an appropriate order for quashing the order dated 05.05.2025 (Annexure 2) passed by Shri A. P. Lakra, Estate Officer, B. S. City, Bokaro in connection with A/E Case No. 93 of 2016 whereby and where under, the respondent no. 3 has been pleased to direct the petitioner to vacate the quarter within 15 days and further directed to pay damages as per Schedule II of

the order on account of unauthorized occupation of Quarter No. 2208, D Type, Bokaro Steel City.

And/Or

iii) During the pendency of instant application, the operation, implementation and execution of the order dated 19.11.2025 (Annexure 3) passed in connection with Civil Misc. Appeal No. 14 of 2025 and also order dated 05.05.2025 (Annexure 2) passed in connection with A/E Case No. 93 of 2016, maybe kept at abeyance.”

2. The petitioner has retired from service in the year 2006 and still he is continuing in the premises and therefore, for eviction, order has been passed by the Estate Officer, Bokaro Steel City, Bokaro in connection with A/E Case No. 93 of 2016 vide order dated 0-5.05.2025(Annexure-2). The said order has been appealed before the Principal District Judge, Bokaro being Civil Misc. Appeal No. 14 of 2025, which has been dismissed vide order dated 19.11.2025(Annexure-3).

3. The mere fact that the petitioner has retired from service in the year 2006 and still he is continuing in the official quarter is itself outrageous.

4. In that view of the matter, I find no reason to entertain the present C.M.P., which is accordingly, dismissed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the official quarter has been retained due to non- payment of the gratuity amount.

6. This is separate cause of action. However, while dismissing the present CMP, liberty is given to the petitioner to agitate his prayer before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

21.01.2026
A. Mohanty
Uploaded

____/____/2026