

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 741 of 2026

Arun Kumar Dwivedi, son of Shri Lal Bihari Dwivedi
... .. **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Opp. Party**

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Manindra Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

05/10th April 2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with Cyber Crime P.S. Case No. 231/2024 corresponding to Cyber Case No. 09/2025 for the offence registered under Sections 318(2), 318(3), 318(4), 319(2), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 61(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023 and under section 66(B), 66(C), 66(D) of IT Act, now said to have been pending in the court of learned ACJ-II-cum-Special Judge, Cyber Crime, Ranchi.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 12.02.2025 in the present case and has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner has also returned an amount of Rs. Ten Lakhs Seventy-Five Thousand and odd that is mentioned in the FIR itself.
3. He has further submitted that the co-accused, namely, Abhishek Singh Gaharwar has been granted bail by co-ordinate Bench of this Court in B.A. No. 5123 of 2025 vide order dated 13.10.2025.
4. He has also submitted that the petitioner is an accused in the case registered in Chhattisgarh wherein there are similar allegations, but the

petitioner has been granted bail by the Hon'ble Chhattisgarh High Court in MCRC No. 8213/2025. In connection with another case in Jabalpur, the petitioner has been granted bail by the Hon'ble Jabalpur High Court in Misc. Criminal Case No. 58822/2025.

5. Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer and has referred to paragraph 49 and 121 of the case-diary to submit that in the criminal case lodged in Madhya Pradesh, the involvement of the petitioner in connection with transaction of money has been found connecting the petitioner to international cyber-crime.

6. He has further submitted that the petitioner has as many as four criminal antecedents apart from the present case and all of them are similar in nature. He has further submitted that the allegation against the co-accused Abhishek Singh Gaharwar is different from that of the petitioner, inasmuch as, the petitioner has taken the alleged money in his bank account, but no such transaction through bank is there with respect to the co-accused who has been enlarged on bail.

7. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, this Court finds that the allegation made against the petitioner is serious in nature and the petitioner is alleged to have cheated the victims to the extent of Rs. Four Crore Sixty Five Lakh and odd and out of them huge amount has been shown to have been transferred in the bank account of the petitioner. This Court also finds that there are numerous criminal cases of similar nature pending against the petitioner, though in some of them, the petitioner has been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Jabalpur High Court / Hon'ble Chhattisgarh High Court.

8. Numerous victims have been mentioned in the case-diary who have been defrauded by the petitioner and also the co-accused. However, the case of the petitioner is different from the case of co-accused Abhishek Singh Gaharwar, in whose connection no bank account transfer has been found and all the alleged transactions relating to Abhishek Singh Gaharwar were in cash .

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Hence, this bail application is rejected.

10. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned through 'e-mail/FAX'.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 10.04.2026

Uploaded On: 13.04.2026

Mukul/-