

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A. No. 190 of 2025

Shivam Gupta and Others	...	...	Appellants
Versus			
Shaminder Singh Rakhroy and Others...	...		Respondents
With			

F.A. No. 71 of 2026

Vikramjeet Singh Rakhroy and others	...	...	Appellants
Versus			
Shaminder Singh Rakhroy and Others...	...		Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellants : Mr. A.K. Sahani, Advocate
: Mr. Gaurang Jajodia, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Aniket Jaiswal, Advocate

10/22.06.2026

F.A. No.190 of 2025

On the last occasion, the hearing of the case commenced, but today when the case is taken up, it has been brought to the notice of this Court that service of notice upon respondent nos.4 and 5 is incomplete. However, respondent no.6 has been served.

2. Mr. Aniket Jaiswal , the learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.1 [plaintiff- Shaminder Singh Rakhroy] undertakes to provide the present and complete address of respondent nos.4 and 5 namely Priti Rekhi and Sarita Achint, to the learned counsel for the appellants by 29.06.2026 and the learned counsel for the appellants is directed to take steps for fresh service of notice upon respondent nos.4 and 5 at their present and correct address by 01.07.2026 for which requisites be filed through speed post.

3. Office to track speed post-delivery and prepare appropriate office note with regard to service of notice.

F.A. No.71 of 2026

4. The office report reveals that notice could not be served upon respondent no.5 namely Sarita Achint on account of insufficient address.

5. The learned counsel for respondent no.1 (plaintiff -Shaminder Singh Rakhroy), undertakes to provide the present and complete address of respondent no.5 to the learned counsel for the appellant by 29.06.2026. The appellants to take steps for service of notice upon respondent nos.5 through speed post for which requisites be filed by 01.07.2026.

6. Office to track speed post-delivery and prepare appropriate office note with regard to service of notice.

7. So far as respondent no. 4 and 6 are concerned, as per office note, the speed post item has been duly served.

8. Considering the office note, the service of notice upon respondent nos.4 and 6 is accepted as valid.

F.A. No. 190 of 2025 and F.A No. 71 of 2026

9. Learned counsel for the appellants in F.A. No.190 of 2025 has submitted that he shall rely upon the following judgements: -

- (i) ***(2009) 10 SCC 223 (FGP Limited Vs. Saleh Hooseini Doctor and Another)*** paragraphs 44, 47, 48, 51, 53
- (ii) ***(2001) 4 SCC 325 (Clarence Pais and others Vs. Union of India)*** paragraphs 5 and 6
- (iii) ***(2013) 1 SCC 625 (Virgo Industries (Eng.) Private Limited Vs. Venturetech Solutions Private Limited)*** paragraphs 11, 12, 14, 17
- (iv) ***S.A. No.92 of 2005 (Badri Prasad Vs. Rajendra Prasad and others)*** paragraphs 22, 23 and 24
- (v) ***2017 Supreme (SC) 193 (Jayantilal Chimanlal Patel Vs. Vadilal Purushottamdas Patel)*** paragraphs 10, 13
- (vi) ***(2009) 9 SCC 52 (R. Mahalakshmi Vs. A.V. Anantharaman and Others)*** paragraphs 15, 21.

10. The matters are directed to be posted on 15.07.2026.

11. The interim order granted vide order dated 17.06.2026 is directed to continue till 15.07.2026.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Saurav/-