

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Criminal Revision No. 56 of 2025

Veerendra Kumar Ram

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

The Directorate of Enforcement

..... **Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitendra S. Singh, Advocate

Mr. Randhir Kumar, Advocate

For the OP-ED : Mr. Amit Kumar Das, Advocate

Order No. 05/Dated: 11th April, 2025

I.A No.1903 of 2025

1. The instant interlocutory application has been filed for amendment in the prayer portion of the main application being Criminal Revision No.56 of 2025.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that during pendency of Criminal Revision No.56 of 2025, charge has been framed against the present petitioner and other co-accused persons vide order dated 07.12.2024 by the learned trial Court and, as such, by way of the instant interlocutory application being I.A No.1903 of 2025 the order dated 07.12.2024 has been sought to be challenged by way of amendment in the prayer portion of the Criminal Revision No.56 of 2025.

3. Mr. Amit Kumar Das, the learned counsel appearing for the OP-ED has submitted that the prayer for challenging the order of framing charge is a separate prayer and it is not maintainable in the light of the Jharkhand High Court Rules as two prayers cannot be challenged in one revision application.

4. Upon this, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks leave of this Court not to press the instant interlocutory application with liberty to challenge the order of framing charge dated 07.12.2024 by filing separate application before appropriate Forum as also to reutilize the certified copy of the order dated 07.12.2024 appended as Annexure-1 to the present interlocutory application.

5. Leave, as prayed for, is granted.

6. Accordingly, the instant interlocutory application being I.A No.1903 of 2025 stands dismissed as not pressed with the aforesaid liberty and disposed of as such.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

Sudhir