

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. no. 11806 of 2025

Gulab Oraon, aged about 25 years, son of Somra Oraon,
resident of Village Parasima, P.O. and P.S. Raidih, District
Gumla, Jharkhand. ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opp. party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. K.S. Nanda , Adv.

For the State : Mr. P.K.Chaterjee, Spl.. PP

03 / 06.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with S.T. case no. 164 of 2024 arising out of Raidih P.S. case no. 02 of 2024 instituted under Section 364(A) of IPC and subsequently aided Section 302, 201 read with Section 34 of IPC.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this is the third journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail. It is next submitted that earlier the bail application of the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 17.10.2024 passed in B.A. no. 7150 of 2024 and then, again the prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 28.02.2025 passed in BA no. 171 of 2025. It is next submitted that the fresh ground is that the during the trial, so far seven witnesses have been examined including Kamal Oraon, who has been examined as PW1 and before whom, the petitioner allegedly made one extra judicial confession relating his involvement in the present occurrence but the PW1 whose deposition appears in page 3, Annexure I of the Supplementary Affidavit filed today, has been declared

hostile and has not supported the case of the prosecution. It is next submitted that the rest of the six witnesses also have not stated anything in their deposition to implicate the petitioner in any manner in this case. It is next submitted that in view of the deposition of the witnesses, there is no justification to keep the petitioner in further incarceration. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in jail custody since 11.04.2024 , as mentioned in para 1 of this bail application. It is next submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case hence, the petitioner may be admitted to regular bail.

Learned Spl. P.P. opposed the prayer for regular bail .

Considering submissions of learned counsels and the facts as stated above, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Hence, the court below is directed to release the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge- II, Gumla in connection with S.T. case no. 164 of 2024 arising out of Raidih P.S. case no. 02 of 2024 with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish mobile phone number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change the mobile phone number during the trial of the case.

(ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)