

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.7620 of 2025

....
M/s Ananya Associates, a partnership firm, through its Partner, Mr. Ravi Kumar
.... Petitioner

Versus

Steel Authority of India Limited, a public sector undertaking, through its Chairman,
Ispat Bhawan, Lodhi Road, New Delhi & Ors. Respondents

....
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rahul Lamba, Adv.
For the Respondents : Mr. Shresth Gautam, Adv.

....
02/19.01.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has approached this Court for following reliefs:-

- a) For the issuance of an appropriate writ or writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the letter, dated 01.11.2025 and bearing reference no. TA/LRA/2025/5716, issued by Respondent SAIL to the Petitioner (Annexure - 9) whereby the Respondents have held the business of hotel, being run by the Petitioner, as restricted trade and had directed to cancel the lease of the leased premises, being Plot No. E-3 in City Centre, Sector 4, Bokaro Steel City ("Concerned Plot"), which is running in favour of the Petitioner, if the alleged restricted trade is not regularised by the Petitioner, by fulfilling the requirements and formalities of the Respondents, within 2 months of the said letter.
- b) For the issuance of an appropriate writ or writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the letter, dated 25.02.2025 and bearing reference no. TA/LRA/2025/4089, issued by Respondent SAIL to the Petitioner (Annexure - 5) whereby the Respondents have held the business of hotel, being run by the Petitioner, as restricted trade and have directed the Petitioner to comply with a policy of the Respondent, allegedly made by Respondents for regularisation of restricted trade, and to make the payment of the requisite fees to the Respondent.
- c) For the issuance of an appropriate order calling upon the Respondents to bring on record, in the present case, the policy for regularisation of restricted trade, as framed by the Respondents and referred to in the Notice at Annexure 2 to this Petition, ("said policy") and for issuance of an appropriate writ or writ in the nature of

Certiorari for quashing the said policy as the same is arbitrary, without any authority of law and in violation of the fundamental rights, including but not limited to Article 14, 19 (1) (g) and 21, provided in the Constitution of India;

d) For the issuance of an appropriate writ or order declaring that the said policy for implementation of the change of trade to restricted trade, as framed by the Respondents, is not binding on the Petitioner.

2. Reference has been made to the lease agreement, especially the Clause-8, which reads as under:-

“That, the Lessee may use the demised land and /or the building to be erected as aforesaid for any lawful commercial activity provided it does not interfere with comfort & convenience of neighbours, society and does not violate any law of the land”

3. It has been submitted that now for the change of the business, a huge amount are being asked which is contrary to the terms and conditions of the lease.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks adjournment for filing response.

5. As prayed for, put up this case on 26.02.2026.

6. During pendency of the writ petition, respondents will not proceed in furtherance to Annexure-9.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

19.01.2026
Shahid/
Uploaded