

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
C.M.P. No.181 of 2026

The Jharkhand State Housing Board through the Secretary, Ranchi
... Petitioner

Versus

Laxmi Devi & Others ... Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate
For the Opp. Parties : Mr. Rohit Roy, Advocate
Mr. Vibor Mayank, Advocate
For the Intervener : Mr. Kushal Kumar, Advocate

Order No:-03 Dated-15-04-2026

I.A. No.16962 of 2025

Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the intervener submits that this interlocutory application has been filed with the prayer to intervene and to be impleaded as opposite party No.4 in this case. It is next submitted that the intervener is having interest over the subject matter in this case in the land appertaining to Khata No.82, Plot No.744, situated at village Harmu (Dhobi Ghat), P.S. Argora, District- Ranchi. It is then submitted that the intervener has also invoked the statutory mechanism under Order XXI Rule 97 to 101 of Code of Civil Procedure by filing M.C.A. No.546 of 2025 before the executing court in Execution Case No.26 of 2025 of the court of Munsif Ranchi. Hence, it is submitted that the prayer, as prayed for in this interlocutory application, be allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner opposes the prayer of the intervener to be impleaded as opposite party No.4 in this case and submits that the intervener has no independent right, title and interest over the land in question. Therefore, it is submitted that this interlocutory application, being without any merit, be dismissed.

Perusal of the record reveals that it has not been disclosed by the intervener as to in what manner, the intervener is having interest over the said piece of land. The intervener, which has been described as 'Daily Market Dokandar Samitee', has not mentioned as to what is the constitution of the

Samitee and whether it is a juristic person and in the absence of any pleading to suggest that intervener is a juristic person and in the absence of any material to suggest, exactly what interest the intervener is having in respect of the land in question, this Court is of the considered view that the prayer of the intervener is a vague and vexatious one. Hence, this Court is not inclined to allow the prayer of the intervener made in the instant interlocutory application. Accordingly, this interlocutory application, being without any merit, is dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

C.M.P. No.181 of 2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for time.

Prayer for time is allowed as the last chance.

List this C.M.P. after a week.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated- 15.04.2026

Vedanti/