

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No.148 of 2026

Ajit Tirkey, son of Xavier Tirkey, resident of Village Tengriya, Block Bero, Panchayat Dighia, P.O. Tuko, P.S. Bero, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand, through Chief Secretary, having its office at 1st Floor, Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
2. The Department of Personnel, Administrative Reforms & Rajbhasha, through its Secretary, having its office at Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
3. The Jharkhand Staff Selection Commission (JSSC), through its Chairman, having its office at Chaibagan Gali, Kali Nagar, P.O. & P.S. Namkum, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
4. The Controller of Examination, Jharkhand Staff Selection Commission (JSSC), having its office at Chaibagan Gali, Kali Nagar, P.O. & P.S. Namkum, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.

... .. Respondents

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ajit Kumar, Sr. Advocate, with
 Mr. Gaurav Shukla, Advocate

For the Respondent(s): Ms. Komal Tiwary, AC to AG
 Mr. Sanjoy Piprawall, Advocate
 Mr. Tejo Mistry, Advocate

02/ 19.01.2026

Heard learned counsel representing the petitioner and learned counsel representing the respondents.

2. Admittedly, the petitioner filled up an Application Form pursuant to Advertisement No.21/2016, claiming himself to be a Working Teacher, though actually he was not.

3. A show cause notice dated 30.11.2018 was issued to the petitioner and the petitioner in his reply to the said show cause notice admitted that he was not a Working Teacher. Thereafter the result was published excluding the name of the petitioner.

4. The petitioner claims that since a show cause notice was issued, the petitioner's case should have been considered.

5. I cannot agree with the submission of the petitioner. Mere issuance of the show cause notice will not confer any right upon the petitioner. Further, the show cause notice might have been issued to enable the petitioner to provide necessary documents in support of his claim, which he initially did not provide. Admittedly, the details which he had given in his Application Form were wrong, as he is not a Working Teacher. His candidature should thus be rejected outrightly. Thus, I find no error in the action of the respondent and no relief can be granted to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is **dismissed**.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

*19th January, 2026
Prashant. Cp-2*

Uploaded on 22.01.2026