

Smt. Lily Dorothy Topno			Petitioner
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand & Ors.			Opp. Parties

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Navneet Toppo, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Gaurang Jajodia, AC to GP-II
For the O.P. No.4	: Mr. Sudarshan Srivastava, Advocate

1. Serious objection has been raised on behalf of the petitioner by referring the decision taken by the authority, the concerned opposite party dated 20.03.2026, by which, deviating from the direction passed by this Court, which is the subject matter of the present contempt case, the authority, in order to circumvent the order passed, has taken a decision that the date of giving joining by the petitioner, had been treated to be notional w.e.f. 01.03.1981 and the date of actual payment has been treated to be 15.11.2000, which according to the petitioner, is nothing but direct defiance of the order passed by this Court.
2. Even though, there is no such direction, rather, the direction as has been passed, has been taken note in the said order, where there is no reference to treat the period from the date of joining as notional.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the Opp. Party-State, on telephonic instruction, has submitted that the order dated 20.03.2026 will be rectified and the consequential benefits will be

paid.

4. Let the compliance affidavit in its letter and spirit be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

5. List on 15.07.2026.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

01.07.2026
Rohit/-