

1. Arun Kumar Rai @ Arun Ray			
2. Anju Devi			
3. Dolly Kumari	----	---	Appellants
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand	---	---	Respondent

For the Appellants : Mr. Mayank Mohit Sinha, Advocate
For the Resp.-State : Mrs. Priya Shrestha, Spl.P.P.

Heard Mr. Mayank Mohit Sinha, learned counsel for the appellants and Mrs. Priya Shrestha, learned Spl.P.P. for the State.

The appellants have been convicted for the offences under Sections 302/34 of the I.P.C. and have been sentenced to undergo R.I. for life along with a fine of Rs.1,00,000/- each.

It appears that so far as the appellant nos.2 and 3 are concerned, they are the mother-in-law as well as maternal aunt-in-law of the deceased, who are aged more than 70 years and the allegations against them are general and omnibus in nature.

In such circumstances therefore, we are inclined to admit the appellant nos.2 and 3 on bail. Accordingly, during the pendency of this appeal, appellant nos.2 and 3, above named, are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Pakur in Sessions Trial No.56 of 2022 arising out of Pakur (Town) P.S. Case No. 198 of 2021.

So far as the appellant no.1 is concerned, who is the husband of the deceased, the witnesses have stated about the assault committed upon the deceased and as per the evidence of the witnesses, he appears to be the main person involved in setting the deceased on fire.

In view of the above, we are not inclined to admit the appellant no.1 on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the appellant no.1 is hereby rejected.

The aforesaid I.A. stands disposed of.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J)

Dated:06.04.2026

Jay/-