

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 1528 of 2025

Ranjay Singh @ Ramjay Singh

--- ---

Appellant

Versus

State of Jharkhand

--- ---

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant : Mr. Jitendra S. Singh, Adv.

For the State : None.

I.A. No. 1702 of 2026.

05/09.04.2026 Heard Mr. Jitendra S. Singh, leaned counsel for the appellant. None appears on behalf of the State.

This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

The appellant has been convicted for the offence under Section 302 IPC and has been sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life along with a fine of Rs. 50,000/-.

It has been alleged that the brother of the informant had called him over mobile and had stated that it was the appellant who had stabbed him and he was being taken by the Police for treatment. Subsequently in course of treatment, he died.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant that the identity of the appellant had been stated by P.W.3, P.W.6 and P.W.7, but all have been declared hostile by the prosecution. It has further been submitted that the post-mortem report reveals that one stitched wound was found on the abdomen but the treating doctor has not been examined by the prosecution. Learned counsel submits that the conviction of the appellant is based on the evidence of the Police witnesses who have been examined as P.W.4, P.W.5, P.W.8, P.W.9 and P.W.12. Learned

counsel further submits that so far as the SFSL report is concerned, P.W.15 has categorically stated that the material exhibits do not contain the name of the person from whom it was seized or the Police Station Case No. Learned counsel submits that the appellant was all along on bail during trial.

It appears from the evidence of P.W.4, P.W.5, P.W.8, P.W.9 and P.W.12 that there is an oral dying declaration by the brother of the informant in which he has categorically described about the manner in which the appellant had stabbed him on his abdomen and the same finds corroboration from the post-mortem report as well. It also appears from the SFSL report that the blood found on the Gamcha as well as the full pant finds corroboration from the blood of the deceased.

Regard being had to the overwhelming evidence on record, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected at this stage.

I.A. No. 1702 of 2026 stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

Dated- 09th April, 2026.

Preet/-

Uploaded on: 13 /04/2026.