

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 11493 of 2025

Ganesh Dangil @ Gono Dangil, aged about 25 years, S/o Pratap
Dangil @ Ratna Dangil. **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Opp. Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. J. N. Upadhyay, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Ajay Kumar Pathak, A.P.P.

- 03/06.04.2026** Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.
- 2.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 17.01.2025 in connection with POCSO Case No. 04 of 2025, Kuchai P.S. Case No. 04 of 2025 for the offences registered under Sections 70(2)/61(2) of the B.N.S. 2023, read with section 4/6 of POCSO Act, pending in the court of learned Special Judge (POCSO), Seraikella.
- 3.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner though named in the FIR for alleged commission of crime, but he has been falsely implicated in this case. He has further submitted that there is delay in lodging the FIR. The date of incident is 17.12.2024 and the FIR has been lodged on 15.01.2025.
- 4.** Learned counsel for the opposite party-State has opposed the prayer and has submitted that the victim has supported the case in her statement under Section 183 of BNSS and she is minor and there is direct allegation against the petitioner. He has also submitted that the trial is in progress and two witnesses have been examined as per the impugned order which is dated 07.08.2025 and a few more witnesses must have been examined by now.
- 5.** After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the direct allegation against the petitioner and also the fact that the victim has supported the prosecution case in her statement under Section 183 of BNSS, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, prayer for bail of the petitioner above named is rejected.

6. However, the trial appears to be in an advance stage. The State is directed to promptly produce the remaining witnesses so that the trial be taken to a logical end.

7. The counsel for the State is directed to communicate this order to the Superintendent of Police of the concerned District and also to the Director, Prosecution to ensure compliance.

8. Let this order be communicated to the concerned court through FAX/e-mail.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 06.04.2026

Uploaded on: 07.04.2026

Binit/