

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 985 of 2023

1. Jorong Surin, aged about 61 years, Son of Christoras Surin, At present residing at G.E.L Church Compound, Chaibasa, P.O. Chaibasa, P.S.- Sadar Chaibasa, District- West Singhbhum, permanent address, Chgu Toli, Pakra, District-Gumla, Jharkhand.
2. Animesh Sandil, age about 42 years, Son of Rishi Sandil, Resident of Mission Road, Purulia Municipality, Ward 4, Bhatbandh, P.S.- & P.O. and District-Purulia, W.B.
3. Umblan John Purty, aged about 70 years, Son of Late Marshal Purty, R/o Village Khunti Toli, P.O.-, P.S. & District-Simdega, Jharkhand.
4. Sugar Topno, aged about 45 years, Son of Umbulan Topno, R/o Kherkhai, P.O.- P.S.- & District-Khunti, Jharkhand.
5. Mahipal Banra, aged about 65 years, Son of Bonj Banra, R/o Kherkhai, P.O- Barkhundia, P.S.-Mufassil Chaibasa, District-West Singhbhum, Jharkhand.
6. Usha Kongari, aged about 62 years, Daughter of Late Daud Kongari, R/o 73 S.P.G. Mission Compound, P.O- Chaibasa, P.S. Mufassil, District-West Singhbhum, Jharkhand.
7. Nimal Topno, aged about 65 years, Son of Samuel Topno, R/O Amma Banai Toli, P.O. & P.S.-Torpa, District-Khunti, Jharkhand.

... .. **Petitioners**

Versus

1. State of Jharkhand.
2. Sri Aditya Kumar Anand, S/o not know to the petitioners, Inspector General of Registration (Under the Societies Registration Act, 1860), Registration Department, Project Bhawan, P.O. & P.S. Dhura, Ranchi, Jharkhand-834004.

... .. **Opposite Parties**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Binod Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Amandeep Kumar Pandey, Advocate

15/09.01.2026

1. This contempt proceeding has been instituted against respondents for violation of the order passed by this Court in W.P.(C) No. 1546 of 2023 on 25.04.2023.
2. Learned counsel for the opposite parties has referred to the third show cause filed on behalf of opposite party No.2 and has referred paragraph 18 of the same to submit that a reasoned order has been

passed in compliance of the order dated 25.04.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 1546 of 2023.

3. At this, the learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the liberty may be reserved with the petitioners to take steps as per law.

4. Considering the submission, this Court is not inclined to proceed any further in this contempt proceeding.

5. This contempt proceeding is hereby dropped.

6. However, liberty is reserved with the petitioners to take steps as per law.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

09.01.2026

Rakesh/-

Uploaded on:10.01.2026