

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Contempt Case (Civil) No. 1170 of 2024

Pratima Devi	...	...	Petitioner
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand & Ors.	...	...	Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Prem Pujari Roy, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Arun Kumar Dubey, AC to GP-III
For the Ranchi University : Mr. Amritanshu Singh, Advocate

18/15.05.2026 Mr. Arun Kumar Dubey, AC to GP-III appearing on behalf of the opposite party no. 2, refers to paragraph nos. 6, 7 and 8 of the show cause affidavit filed on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 today (15.05.2026), which read as under:

"6. That the answering opposite party states that vide Memo No. 873 dated 27.04.2026 the pay fixation of Late Chedi Bhagat, husband of original petitioner has been approved subject to outcome of the S.L.P. (In case of Nawal Kishore Oraon) filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

7. That the answering opposite party state that the pay fixation of the petitioner has been approved and the University has to release the subsequent monetary benefit.

8. That the answering opposite party state that it will not be out of place to mention that in every financial year all the Universities are allocated a consolidated amount for the payment of salary, pension and other retiral benefits to its employees.”

2. Considering the aforesaid statement, the opposite party no. 3 is directed to make the effective payment to the petitioner in terms with the notification No. 873 dated 27.04.2026 and to file a compliance affidavit by 19.06.2026.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner points out that notification No. 873 dated 27.04.2026 has been issued by

the opposite party no. 2 only concerning the 5th pay revision of the deceased employee. Nothing has been stated with respect to the 6th and 7th pay revision.

4. Considering the said submission, the opposite party no. 2 is directed to file a supplementary show cause affidavit stating as to why the revisional benefits as per the 6th and 7th pay revision of the deceased employee, have not been approved. It is expected that the said revisional benefits of the deceased employee will also be sanctioned/approved by the opposite party no. 2 and communicated to the opposite party no. 3 to ensure that the said payment is made to the petitioner as soon as possible.

5. Put up this case under the heading "For Admission" on 03.07.2026.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

May 15, 2026
Manish