

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**  
**Cr. Rev. No. 152 of 2025**

Gaina Oraon, S/o Jubbi Oraon, Age 21 years, R/o  
Village-Kaimbo, Post Office-Kaimbo, Police  
Station-Mandar, District-Ranchi, Jharkhand.

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Jharkhand.

..... ... Opposite Party

-----  
**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI**

-----  
For the Petitioner : Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Suraj Deo Munda, A.P.P.

-----

**07/ 14.10.2025** I.A. No. 12934 of 2024, filed for condonation of delay, has become infructuous, in view of the stamp reporting.

2. As such, the said I.A. is disposed of as infructuous.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits this revision petition has been preferred against the order dated 11.02.2020, passed in Criminal Appeal No. 58 of 2019 by the learned Additional Judicial Commissioner-IV, Ranchi, whereby the learned appellate court has dismissed the appeal of the petitioner and affirmed the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 13.02.2019 passed by the learned Juvenile Justice Board, Ranchi, in connection with Mandar P.S. Case No. 61 of 2017 corresponding to G.R. No. 4016 of 2017, by which, the petitioner was held guilty for the offence under Section 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and directed to keep in Remand Home, Barmasiya, Dhanbad for reform and rehabilitation for a period of three years.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that for grant of regular bail during the pendency of this petition, I.A. No. 4791 of 2025 has been filed. He submits that the petitioner was taken into custody on 02.08.2017 and he was granted bail on 23.04.2018. He further submits that thereafter the petitioner was sent to remand home on 18.09.2024 and since then he is still in remand home. He then submits that in view of that

the petitioner has remained in custody for about one year ten months, which is more than half of the awarded sentence. He next submits that the I.O. of the case has not been examined and further P.W.-3 has stated that she has heard about the occurrence from the nearby persons. He then submits that P.Ws.-1 and 2 are the hearsay witnesses. On these grounds he submits that the petitioner may kindly be granted the regular bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State and learned counsel appearing for the informant have jointly opposed the prayer and submit that on the cogent reason, the sentence has been awarded and in view of that the petitioner may not be granted the regular bail.

6. Considering that petitioner was taken into custody on 02.08.2017 and he was granted bail on 23.04.2018 and thereafter the petitioner was sent to remand home on 18.09.2024 and since then he is still in remand home, as such, he has remained in custody for about one year and ten months, whereas the sentence is of three years and the petitioner has served more than half of the sentence, the petitioner, named above, is directed to be released on regular bail, during the pendency of this petition, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/-(Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Juvenile Justice Board, Ranchi, in connection with Mandar P.S. Case No. 61 of 2017 corresponding to G.R. No. 4016 of 2017.

7. The aforementioned I.A. is allowed and disposed of.

8. Let this matter appear eight weeks after Diwali vacation under the appropriate heading.

**(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)**

*Dated:-14.10.2025*

*Amitesh/-*