

(2026:JHHC:11590)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.11257 of 2025

-
1. Shubham Sharma @ Tinku Sharma, aged about 28 years, son of Late Dinesh Sharma, Resident of Hume Pipe, Nirmal Nagar, P.O.-Sakchi, P.S.-Sitaramdera, Jamshedpur, District-Singhbhum East, State-Jharkhand.
 2. Santosh Kumar Srivastav @ Santosh Srivastav @ Santosh, aged about 42 years, son of Shiojee Sinha, resident of Chandi Nagar, Humpie, P.O.-Sakchi, P.S.-Sitaramdera, Jamshedpur, District-Singhbhum East, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Devesh Ajmani, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Gautam Rakesh, Addl.P.P
For the Informant	: Mr. Abhijeet Kr. Singh, Advocate
	: Mr. Surya Harsh Mishra, Advocate

Order No.03 Dated- 20-04-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have been made accused in connection with Sitaramdera P.S. Case No.117 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 191(2), 190 & 103(1) of the BNS, 2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners were members of an unlawful assembly and in prosecution of the common object of the assembly committed murder of Rahul Bhuiya. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioners is false. The learned counsel for petitioners files the certified copy of the FIR of Sitaramdera P.S. Case No.116 of 2025 and submits that, this case is the counter case of the Sitaramdera P.S. Case No.116 of 2025 which was instituted by the petitioner no.1, as the petitioners caught the deceased while committing theft of iron rods from his shop. It is next submitted that the petitioners had no intention to kill the deceased and at best, it is the case of the exceeding the right of private defence of the petitioners. It is next submitted that the co-accused has already been granted bail by the co-

ordinate bench of this Court vide order dated 23.03.2026 in B.A. No.38 of 2026. It is next submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para-15 of this bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioners undertake that they will co-operate with the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since 30.08.2025 as is evident from para-19 of the instant bail application. Hence it is submitted that the petitioners be released on bail.

Learned Addl.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Jamshedpur in connection with Sitaramdera P.S. Case No.117 of 2025 with the condition that they will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish their mobile numbers and photocopy of the Aadhar Cards in the court below with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile numbers during the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

20/04/2026

Abhiraj/