

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A. B. A. No. 6953 of 2025

Sajukta Kumari, aged about 65 years, wife of Dashrath Prasad,
resident of Adarshnagar, Adityanagar, P.O. and P.S. Adityapur, District-
Seraikella-Kharswan, Jharkhand

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... ... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner

:Mr. B.K. Dubey, Advocate

For the State

: Mr. Prabir Kumar Chatterjee, Spl.P.P

02/ 22.04.2026: Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in connection with
Adityapur P.S. Case No. 299 of 2025, registered under sections 103/3(5) of
BNS, 2023, pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Seraikella.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner
happens to be mother-in-law of the deceased and allegation of assault by
knife is against the husband of petitioner and apart from that there is
nothing against the petitioner and in view of that petitioner may kindly be
provided privilege of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer and submits
that in para 31 of the case diary it has come that petitioner was involved in
assault however allegation of assault by knife is against husband of the
petitioner. He next submits that in para 32 of the case diary it has been
disclosed that in CCTV petitioner was also found making quarrel with the
deceased and in view of that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. The petitioner happens to be mother-in-law of the deceased.
In para 31 of the case diary it has come that petitioner was
involved in assault however allegation of assault by knife is there against

husband of the petitioner. In para 32 of the case diary it has been disclosed that in CCTV petitioner was also found making quarrel with the deceased.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby rejected.

Dt.22.04.2026

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

satyarthi-