

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P (Cr.) No. 743 of 2025

Rajesh Kumar Mishra and others	---	---	Petitioners
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand and others	---	---	Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ambuj Nath

For the Petitioners: Mr. Rohit Ranjan Sinha, Advocate

For the Resp.-State: Mr. Suraj Prakash, A.C to S.C-VII

07 / 20.12.2025 Vide order dated 27.11.2025, notice was issued for appearance of the Respondent Nos. 3 to 5. Office has given report regarding service of notice to the Respondent Nos. 3 to 5, as per which, notice has been received by the Respondent No. 4 personally. Office has reported that notice on the Respondent No. 3 and 5 could not be served as the office address was found closed.

2. Supplementary affidavit has been filed by the petitioners stating that the Respondent No. 3 has received notice by way of dasti summon, copy of service report has been enclosed in the supplementary affidavit. It was submitted that the Respondent No. 5 has refused to receive notice and endorsement to that effect has been made in the photocopy of the service report.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts, notice issued for appearance of the Respondent No. 3 to 5 is deemed to have been validly served.

4. List this writ application in the second week of January 2026 under the heading 'For Admission'.

5. In the meantime, learned counsel for the State is directed to file counter affidavit.

(Ambuj Nath, J)

December 20, 2025

Ranjeet/
Uploaded
22.12.2025