

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.7625 of 2025

....

1. M/s Tej Computer, through its proprietor, Sri Rupesh Kumar Agrawal, S/o Shri Shankar Lal Agrawal, resident of Flat No.1B, Neelkanth Apartment, Kanke Road, P.O. GPO, Ranchi, P.S. Gonda, District-Ranchi-834008
 2. Rupesh Kumar Agrawal, aged about 53 years, S/o Shri Shankar Lal Agrawal, resident of Flat No.1B, Neelkanth Apartment, Kanke Road, P.O. GPO, Ranchi, P.S. Gonda, District-Ranchi-834008
- Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Magistrate, Ramgarh, P.O.+P.S.+District-Ramgarh (Jharkhand)-829122, (Authority who passed the impugned Order in SARFAESI Case No.153/2024)
 2. PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK, Branch: Harmu Road, Ranchi, P.O.-GPO, Ranchi, P.S.-Kotwali, Ranchi, District-Ranchi (Jharkhand)-834001, through its Authorised Officer/Chief Manager
 3. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Ramgarh, P.O.+P.S.+District-Ramgarh (Jharkhand)-829122
 4. The Reserve Bank of India, through its Governor, Central Office Building, Shahid Bhagat Singh Marg, Fort, Mumbai P.O. Fort Mumbai, Police Station-MRA Marg Police Station, District-Mumbai, (Maharashtra)-400001
- Respondents

....

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondents	: Mr. Prashant Kr. Rai, Adv.
	: Mr. P.A.S.Pati, Adv.

....

02/19.01.2026

1. It is a strange case but the present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs:-
 - (A) To quash the order dated 26.09.2025 passed by Respondent No. 1 in SARFAESI Case No. 153/2024 of the District Magistrate, Ramgarh (Annexure-3).
 - (B) To direct the respondent No. 1 to reject the application of the Punjab National Bank (SARFAESI Case No. 153/2024).
 - (C) To direct the respondent No. 1 to register a First Information Report (FIR) against the officials of Respondent No. 2 for:
 - (i) Submitting a false and incomplete affidavit under Section 14 of the SARFAESI Act.
 - (ii) Fraudulently recovering an amount that is 5,604.92 times (or 39,21,335 times) more than the loan amount.
 - (iii) Committing offences related to the counterfeiting/devaluation of coins and bank notes under relevant sections of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (Chapter-10, Sections 178 to 188) or the Indian Penal Code (Chapter-18, Sections 489 A to E), which are cognisable and non-bailable offences.”

2. It is an admitted position that the loan has been taken and the property in question has been mortgaged.
3. The Deputy Commissioner is not an adjudicating authority rather he has been assigned ministerial function.
4. Neither any factual matrix nor any reason has been brought to my notice to entertain the present writ petition.
5. In absence of any such legal argument, I am not inclined to entertain the present writ petition. Accordingly, the same is, hereby, dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

19.01.2026

Shahid/

Uploaded on 20.01.2026