

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

First Appeal No. 40 of 2025

Suman Kumar Mandal ... **Appellant**
Versus
Kumari Rashmi Sinha ... **Respondent**

With

First Appeal No. 252 of 2024

Kumari Rashmi Sinha ... **Appellant**
Versus
Suman Kumar Mandal ... **Respondent**

**CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI**

For the Appellant(s) : Mr. Shailesh Kr. Singh, Advocate
: Md. Zaid Ahmed, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Md. Zaid Ahmed, Advocate
: Mr. Shailesh Kr. Singh, Advocate

Order No.08/ Dated 15th September, 2025

I.A. No. 291 of 2025 In First Appeal No.40 of 2025

1. The instant interlocutory application has been filed for condoning the delay of 65 days, which has occurred in preferring this appeal.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant has submitted by referring to the reasons shown in the instant interlocutory application, with a prayer to consider the same to be sufficient cause to condone the delay of 65 days in preferring the instant appeal and it has further been submitted that the matter may be heard on merit.

3. Considering the reason for the delay assigned in the interlocutory application, no objection has been made on behalf of the respondent.
4. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the averments made in the instant interlocutory application.
5. This Court, after considering the statements made in the delay condonation application, is of the view that the appellant is prevented from sufficient cause in preferring this appeal within time.
6. Accordingly, this interlocutory application is allowed and the delay of 65 days in preferring this appeal, is hereby, condoned.
7. Accordingly, the instant Interlocutory Application being I.A. No. 291 of 2025, is hereby, allowed and disposed of.

I.A. No. 12313 of 2024 In First Appeal No. 252 of 2024

1. The instant interlocutory application has been filed under Section 5 of the limitation Act for condoning the delay of 4 days, which has occurred in preferring this appeal.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant has submitted by referring to the reasons shown in the instant interlocutory application, with a prayer to consider the same to be sufficient cause to condone the delay of 4 days in preferring the instant appeal and it has further been submitted that the matter may be heard on merit.
3. Considering the reason for the delay assigned in the interlocutory application, no objection has been made on behalf of the respondent.
4. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the averments made in the instant interlocutory application.

5. This Court, after considering the statements made in the delay condonation application, is of the view that the appellant is prevented from sufficient cause in preferring this appeal within time.
6. Accordingly, this interlocutory application is allowed and the delay of 4 days in preferring this appeal, is hereby, condoned.
7. Accordingly, the instant Interlocutory Application being I.A. No. 12313 of 2024 is hereby allowed and disposed of.

First Appeal No. 40 of 2025 & First Appeal No. 252 of 2024

8. Heard.
9. Admit.
10. Call for the Trial Court Record.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)

Suman/Abha-