

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 11047 of 2025

Md. Riyajul Haque Ansari @ Md. Riazul Haque Ansari, aged about 39 years, son of Allauddin, resident of Jamadoba, Barkitand, P.O. Jitpur, P.S. Dhanbad, District-Dhanbad.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... .. Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD

For the Petitioner : Mr. R.S. Mazumdar, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Nishant Roy, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Shailendra Kr. Tiwari, Spl. P.P.

05/Dated: 25th March, 2026

1. The instant application has been filed under Sections 483 and 484 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of bail in connection with Dhanbad Cyber Crime P.S. Case No. 18 of 2025 registered under Section 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 61(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. and under Section 66(C), 66(D) of Information Technology Act, 2000 pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum-Special Judge Cyber Cases, Dhanbad.
2. It has been contended on behalf of the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner that the prayer for grant of bail was made on the earlier occasion which was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 20.08.2025 passed in B.A. No. 3704 of 2025.
3. It has been submitted that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 06.03.2025. It has also been submitted that there is no criminal antecedent against the petitioner.
4. Further submission has been made that the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition of not putting any hindrance in the furtherance of the trial.

5. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner, therefore, on the aforesaid grounds, has prayed that the present petitioner may be released on bail.
6. Learned Special Public Prosecutor appearing for the opposite party-State has seriously opposed the prayer for grant of bail by referring to various paragraphs of the counter affidavit.
7. It has been submitted by referring to the paragraph-8 that the sim number xxxxxx2045 found in the name of the petitioner and other sim number xxxxxx0200 found in the name of his wife, namely, Afrin Begum.
8. Further submission has been by referring to paragraph-10 that an amount of Rs.3000/-, Rs.800/- and Rs.500/- has been cheated through the mobile number being xxxxxx2045.
9. Submission, therefore, has been made that it is not a case for grant of bail.
10. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the first information report as also the content as available in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the State.
11. The fact about cheating of Rs.3000/-, Rs.800/- and Rs.500/- has been found available in paragraph-41-43 of the case diary.
12. It has also been taken into consideration that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 06.03.2025 as also the trial is going on and out of 08 witnesses, 01 witness has been examined. There is no criminal antecedent against the petitioner as has been submitted by the learned senior counsel for the petitioner which has not been disputed in the counter affidavit.
13. This Court, considering the aforesaid fact, is of the view particularly taking into consideration the period of custody since the petitioner is languishing in custody since 06.03.2025 and having no criminal antecedent that the present application deserves to be allowed.

14. Accordingly, the instant bail application stands allowed.
15. In consequence thereof, the petitioner named above, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum-Special Judge Cyber Cases, Dhanbad in connection with Dhanbad Cyber Crime P.S. Case No. 18 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall appear on each and every date fixed. In failure, the learned trial court is at liberty to take appropriate steps for cancellation of bail in accordance with law so that the trial be not hindered as also if the petitioner is found to be involved in similar nature of crime, then also, the liberty for cancellation of bail be exercised in accordance with law.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

25th March, 2026
Saurabh/-