

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 1629 of 2025

Divyendu Kumar Singh @ Dawender Kumar Singh @ Devender
Kumar Singh @ Subodh @ Anand @ Dibyanandu

..... **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant : Mr. Jitesh Kumar, Advocate.

For the Respondent : Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, A.P.P.

Order No. 05/Dated: 24th March, 2026

1. The counter affidavit filed by the State merely reveals that sanction has been accorded.
2. Mr. Jitesh Kumar, learned counsel for the appellant has relied on Rule 3 & 4 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) (Recommendation and Sanction of Prosecution) Rules, 2008 by making a submission that the sanction accorded was beyond the mandatory time prescribed in the said Rules. He has also referred to the case of *Fuleshwar Gope Vs. Union of India* reported in *2024 Supreme (SC) 809*.
3. Since the counter affidavit filed by the State does not contain any reply with the main plank of submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, learned A.P.P. is directed to file a supplementary counter affidavit in the background of submissions advanced by learned counsel for the appellant, which we have referred to above.
4. List this case on 29.04.2026.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

March 24, 2026
Sunil/