

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (C). No. 169 of 2025

Arjun Kumar Petitioner

Versus

State of Jharkhand & Anr. Opp. Parties.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rupesh Singh, Advocate
Mr. Rohit Ranjan Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Jai Prakash, AAG-IA
Ms. Ruchi Mukti, AC to AAG-IA
For the Ranchi University: Mr. Amritanshu Singh, Advocate
Mr. Durgesh Agarwal, Advocate

13/ 28.11.2025 After hearing the parties it appears that there is a reference of a letter issued by the Director, Higher Education to the Vice Chancellor, Ranchi University dated 16.09.2025, wherein there is a reference of a letter from the office of the Chancellor dated 23.04.2025, whereby there is an observation for enquiry against the petitioner.

2. This Court fails to understand when there was a categorical finding of this Court that the petitioner was appointed as Typist on 17.12.1999 and thereafter, his post was designated as Assistant by the University from the date of his joining on 18.03.2016, which was issued by the Registrar and thereafter, the University sent its proposal for fixation of pay-scale of the petitioner and there is also a finding that in the letter dated 28.09.2018, the University has clarified that since the petitioner was working on vacant and sanctioned post of Assistant and he was getting the salary attached to the post of Assistant, his post was re-designated from the post of his initial joining.

3. After such a categorical finding given by the Writ Court in the original order for which the contempt has been filed, this Court is of the opinion that there is no further scope of enquiry in the

backdrop of the fact that this Writ Court's order was challenged before the Division Bench where the respondents lost and they also challenged the Division Bench's order before the Hon'ble Apex Court but all in vain and now, it is only the question of compliance.

4. With a view to give last indulgence to comply the order in true letter and spirit, list on 16.01.2026.
5. It goes without saying that the University shall proceed with the left-over payment which is to be made to the petitioner.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

28th November, 2025

Kunal/-