

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 6683 of 2025

1. Sugo Mahto, Aged about-64 Years, Late Dukhi Mahto.
2. Maheshwar Yadav, Aged about-47 Years, Son of Late Munna Yadav @ Mahto.
3. Rajesh Yadav, Aged about-38 Years, Son of Bhuneshwar Yadav,
4. Dilip Yadav, Aged about-34 Years, Son of Bhuneshwar Yadav,
5. Jitendra Yadav, Aged about-31 Years, Son of Ramdev Yadav,
6. Bablu Yadav, Aged about-21 Years, Son of Ramdev Yadav,
7. Satish Yadav @ Chhatis Prasad Yadav, Aged about-43 Years, Son of Sugo Mahto,
8. Sri Prasad Yadav, Aged about-38 Years, Son of Sugo Mahto,
9. Arjun Yadav @ Arun Yadav, Aged about-37 Years, Son of Ram Prasad,
10. Mukesh Yadav, Aged about-43 Years, Son of Raghu Yadav
11. Gono Yadav Gonu Yadav, Aged about-38 Years, Son of Raghu yadav,
12. Bhusar @ Bhuneshwar Yadav @ Bhumeswar Mahato @ Bhuneshwar Mahto, Aged about-64 Years, Son of Late Aklu Mahto,
13. Dhaneshwar Yadav @ Dhaneshwar Mahato, Aged about-59 Years, Son of Late Aklu Mahto,
14. Indradev Yadav @ Indra Dev Prasad Yadav, Aged about-43 Years, Son of Late Raghunath Mahto,
15. Kisan Yadav, Aged about-34 Years, Son of Dhaneshwar Yadav,

All are Residents of:-Village-Pesardah, Post Office-Rikhiya, Police Station: Rikhiya, District-Deoghar.

..... ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... ... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ranjan Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, A.P.P.

03/ 26.11.2025 Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that he may kindly be allowed to withdraw the prayer for anticipatory bail so far as petitioner Nos. 13 and 15 are concerned, with the liberty that they may file their regular bail before the learned court.

2. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has got no objection.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. As such, the prayer for anticipatory bail so far as petitioner Nos. 13 and 15 are concerned, is hereby, dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. appearing for the State.

6. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Rikhiya P.S. Case No. 133 of 2025, registered for the offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117 (2), 109(1), 352 and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Deoghar.

7. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that there are case and counter case and further there are general and omnibus allegations of assault and for that entire family members have been made accused. He next submits that the allegation of assault is there against Dhaneshwar Yadav and Kishan Yadav, pursuant to that injury has been received by one Manoj Kumar Yadav and Sunil Kumar Yadav.

8. Learned A.P.P appearing for the State has opposed the prayer and submits that the allegation of assault is there.

9. Looking into the contents of the FIR, it transpires that the allegation of assault is there against Dhaneshwar Yadav and Kishan Yadav and so far as other petitioners are concerned there are general and omnibus allegations against them.

10. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to provide the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner Nos. 1 to 12 and 14.

11. Accordingly, the petitioner Nos. 1 to 12 and 14, named above, are hereby directed to surrender before the learned court within three weeks from today, and in the event of their surrender/arrest, the petitioners, above named, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each, with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Deoghar, in connection with Rikhiya P.S. Case No. 133 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-26.11.2025
Amitesh/-