

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A. No. 286 of 2023

Santosh Rana

.... **Appellant(s)**

Versus

Sarita Kumari

....**Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN**

For the Appellant(s) : Mr. Gaurav Abhishek, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Abhinav Raj, Advocate

10/ Dated: 23rd March 2026

1. This Court had sent the parties before the mediator.
2. Although no report of mediator has been received, a copy of the mediation report has been placed for its perusal before this Court by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant.
3. The respondent wife is present physically and has stated that she is having two minor male child and whatever amount has been settled, that is not sufficient for the further upbringing of the two minor sons, including her sustenance.
4. This Court has taken up, therefore, the issue in the order dated 13th March, 2026 and therefore, has passed the following orders: -

"1. The case has been listed under the heading for 'Lok Adalat', which is scheduled to be held on 14th March, 2026.

2. The matter was sent for Mediation before the JHALA. The mediation report has not been received.

3. The respondent-wife, Mrs. Sarita Kumari, appeared in person before this Court and has submitted that though an agreement was arrived at before the Mediator at JHALSA, Ranchi but after re-thought on the issue of higher education of her two children, taken berth from their wedlock, she found that the amount offered by her husband is not sufficient. She has further submitted that she is still ready to live with her. Therefore, prayer has been made to hear the matter on merit.

4. This Court considering the statement made by the respondent-wife, is of the opinion that the matter requires consideration by this Court on merit.

5. Accordingly, list this case on the date fixed i.e., on 23rd March, 2026."

5. This Court, therefore, is of the view that in order to decide the issue on merit, the parties are directed to file their respective affidavits showing the entire worth.

6. It has been admitted by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant-husband that he is working in the CRPF and in the job since the year 2006, therefore, the appellant husband is directed to file the pay-slip along with statement of account and the documents pertaining to the immovable property.

7. List this case on 20.04.2026.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

23rd March 2026

*Amardeep/Vikas
Uploaded on*