

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(L) No. 5212 of 2021

M/s V-2 Retail **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and others **Respondents**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate
: Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Manish Mishra, G.P.-V
: Mr. Raunak Sahay, AC to G.P.-V

12/10.06.2024

Learned counsel for the parties are present.

2. The matter has been listed at the instance of the office.
3. It has been pointed out that vide order dated 09th May 2024 an order has been passed for substituted service of notice upon “respondent nos. 4, 6, 18 and 19” through paper publication, but it ought to have been “respondent nos. 4, 16, 18 and 19” i.e. instead of respondent no. ‘16’ respondent no. ‘6’ has been typed in the order dated 09th May 2024.
4. Learned counsel for the parties have submitted that appropriate order may be passed so that steps may be taken.
5. There appears to be an inadvertent typographical error in order dated 09th May 2024.
6. Accordingly, in paragraph nos. 4 and 6 of order dated 09th May 2024, the “respondent nos. 4, 6, 18 and 19” be read as “respondent nos. 4, 16, 18 and 19”.
7. Office is directed to carry out the necessary correction.
8. Post this case on the pre-fixed date i.e. on 16th July 2024.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Pankaj