

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 6637 of 2025

Arvind Kumar Rana @ Arvind Rana, aged about 28 years, son of Suresh Rana,
resident of Hechabaliya, P.O. Saradhu, P.S. Tandawa, District- Chatra, State-
Jharkhand

... **Petitioner**

-Versus-

The State of Jharkhand

... **Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Ms. Anshu Kumari, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Prabir Kumar Chatterjee, Spl.P.P.

03/27.11.2025 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and learned counsel
appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Tandawa
P.S. Case No.272 of 2024, registered for the offence under Sections
317(5) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Section 21 of the Mines
and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, Rule 54 of the
Jharkhand Minor Minerals Concession Rules, 2004 and Rule 13 of
the Jharkhand Mineral (Prevention of Illegal Mining, Transportation and
Storage) Rules, pending in the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate,
1st Class, Chatra.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has earlier moved before this Court in A.B.A. No.2535 of 2025,
which was dismissed as withdrawn. She further submits that another A.B.A.
being A.B.A. No.4779 of 2025 was again filed, which was disposed of with
liberty to the petitioner to move before the learned Sessions Judge on the
ground of change of situation for grant of anticipatory bail. She then submits
that pursuant to that anticipatory bail petition was filed before the learned
Sessions Judge, which has been rejected.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that this is third anticipatory bail application. He further submits that there is no changed circumstance and in spite of that, repeated anticipatory bail application has been filed.

5. In view of the above, it transpires that the petitioner has earlier moved before this Court in A.B.A. No.2535 of 2025, which was dismissed as withdrawn and another A.B.A. being A.B.A. No.4779 of 2025 was filed, which was disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move before the learned Sessions Judge if any changed circumstance is there and the learned Sessions Judge has been pleased to reject the anticipatory bail petition with finding that there is no changed circumstance to file subsequent anticipatory bail petition. This is third anticipatory bail application before this Court. In course of argument, what is the changed circumstance, that has not been pointed out by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and, as such, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated: 27th November, 2025
Ajay/