

Md. Nisar Ahmad ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

For the Petitioner : Mr. S. K. Upadhyaya, Advocate
For the State : Spl.PP

Dated 4th May, 2026

Heard the learned counsel for the respective parties.

The main plank of submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the matter has been compromised between the parties for which he has referred to a joint compromise petition which has been brought on record in the Criminal Revision Application. However, on being queried, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and the opposite parties are staying separate. It would thus mean that though an averment has been made in the joint compromise petition that good relations had been established between the parties, but the same has not been given effect to. In fact, in the present application also averment has been made that the petitioner is once again ready for a compromise.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY,J.)