

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Appeal (D.B.) No. 1578 of 2024

Rohit Kumar @ Karan Singh @ Rohit Singh @ Rohit Kumar
Singh**Appellant(s)**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand and Another**Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Appellant(s) : Mr. Abhay Kr. Chaturvedy, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Prashant Pallav, A.S.G.I.

Mr. Bajrang Kumar, A.C. to A.S.G.I.

06/Dated:-21.01.2026

I.A. No. 16586 of 2025

1. Heard Mr. A.K. Chaturvedy, learned counsel for the appellant and learned A.C. to A.S.G.I.

2. The prayer for bail of the appellant was earlier dismissed as withdrawn in I.A. No. 5068 of 2025.

3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that the prosecution has examined only three witnesses on its behalf. Learned counsel submits that many of the persons in the raiding party who were involved in the search and seizure, have not been examined by the prosecution, especially, the Officer Incharge of Satbarwa P.S. It has further been submitted that the sampling was not properly done and the appellant is in custody for two-and-a-half years.

4. Learned A.C. to A.S.G.I has opposed the prayer for

bail of the appellant.

5. It appears from the allegations that from a Innova Car in which the appellant was the present, about 100kg of *ganja* was recovered and seized.

6. Considering the commercial quantity of *ganja* recovered and the presence of the appellant having been noted as well as the fact that the prayer for bail of the appellant was earlier dismissed as withdrawn; we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

7. Consequently, the I.A stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

*January 21, 2026
Kunal/vikas
uploaded*