

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No.3729 of 2025

Nita Verma, aged about 58 years, widow of Late Abhay Kumar Verma @ Abhay Kumar and daughter of Late Rajendra Verma, resident of 3rd Street, Hindpiri, PO: Ranchi GPO, P.S: Hindpiri, District- Ranchi.

... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Baijnath Ram @ Baijnath Prasad, son of Late Bhuneshwar Ram, resident of Vikas Nagar, Old Gas Godown Road, Hesal, P.O: Hehal, P.S.: Sukhdeo Nagar, District - Ranchi

... Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vikesh Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Ashim Kr. Sahani, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Shweta Singh, Addl.P.P.
For the O.P. No.2 : Mr. Ram Prakash Singh, Advocate

Order No:-04 Dated:-10-07-2026

Heard the parties.

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed by the petitioner with the prayer for restoration of Acquittal Appeal (C) No. 9 of 2024 to its original file which stood dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 18.09.2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Acquittal Appeal (C) No. 9 of 2024 was dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 18.09.2025. It is next submitted that the petitioner was directed to file requisites to issue notice to the respondent No.2 in that appeal in the matter of limitation by speed post as well as under process of the court within two weeks from the date of that order but though the petitioner is suffering from ailments and also not in a position to maintain herself properly, the petitioner could not take appropriate step to file the said requisites within the stipulated time; which resulted in dismissal Acquittal Appeal (C) No. 9 of 2024 for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 18.09.2025. It is further submitted that non-

compliance of the peremptory order dated 18.09.2025 was neither deliberate nor intentional. It is also submitted that the petitioner has very good grounds to agitate in the said Acquittal Appeal (C) No. 9 of 2024 and unless the same is restored to its original file, the petitioner will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the Acquittal Appeal (C) No. 9 of 2024 be restored to its original file.

Learned counsel for the State and the learned counsel for the opposite party No.2 have not raised any serious objection to the prayer of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, Acquittal Appeal (C) No. 9 of 2024 is directed to be restored to its original file at the same stage at which it was before its dismissal.

Registry is directed to list Acquittal Appeal (C) No. 9 of 2024 before the appropriate Bench after a week.

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 10.07.2026
Saroj/