

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (PIL) No. 5126 of 2021

Dhananjay Kumar Jha Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and Others Respondents
With

W.P. (PIL) No. 5458 of 2014

Sunil Oraon Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and Others Respondents
With

W.P. (PIL) No. 2444 of 2020

Navin Jha Petitioner
Versus
State of Jharkhand and Others Respondents
With

W.P. (PIL) No. 2756 of 2020

Punita Rai Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and Others Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD**

For the Petitioners: In Person [in W.P. (PIL) No. 5126 of 2021]
For the UOI: Mr. Prashant Pallav, A.S.G.I.
For the State: Mr. Piyush Chitresh, A.C. to A.G.
For the JSSC: Mr. Sanjoy Piprawall, Advocate
Mr. Prince Kumar, Advocate
For Resp. No.5: Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate (5126/21)
For State Commission: Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate (5458/14)

Oral Order

06/Dated: 14.10.2022

It is regretted that despite the directions contained in the order dated 22.07.2022 as well as the order dated 24.06.2022 in presence of the learned Advocate General, no affidavit has been filed till today with respect to the filling-up of public/statutory post.

Let an affidavit with respect to the issue as to whether Baba Baidyanath Dham-Basukinath Shrine Area Development Authority would be covered by the Right to Information Act or not in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Chain Singh Vs. Mata Vaishno Devi Shrine Board [(2004) 12 SCC 634]** be filed by the Deputy Commissioner, Deoghar on or before the next date of hearing.

2.

So far as the other issue regarding filling-up of various public posts is concerned, an affidavit should also be filed on behalf of the Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up these cases on 21.10.2022.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, C.J.)

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)