

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S). No. 5905 of 2018

Sangita (Tirkey) Toppo

.....

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Ors.

.....

Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Amresh Kumar, Advocate Ms. Namita Sahay, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rishabh Kaushal, AC to GP-II
For the JAC	:	Ms. Richa Sanchita, Advocate Ms. Risheeta Singh, Advocate

13/ 19.06.2026 Order dated 14.01.2026 reads as follows:

"09/Dated:-14.01.2026

1. During course of hearing, it appears that the District Education Superintendent issued Office Order dated 16.11.2023 in which he allowed all those candidates to work who possessed the degree of Prayag Mahila Vidyapeeth, Allahabad. The controversy in the instant application relates to the recognition of Prayag Mahila Vidyapeeth, Allahabad; as such, when the District Education Superintendent had issued office order which certainly relates to Sahayak Acharya and the petitioner is claiming to be appointed as CDPO, but still on the basis of certificate issued by Prayag Mahila Vidyapeeth, Allahabad; there can be no logic why the certificate will be recognised for one department and not for the other.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents shall reply on the aforesaid issue on the next date of hearing.

3. List this case on 09.02.2026."

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that similarly situated persons having degree from Prayag Mahila Vidyapeeth, Allahabad, have been given appointment by the respondents and they are also working but petitioner has been denied the said benefit.

3. Accordingly, learned counsel for respondent-State shall seek instructions and file affidavit in this regard.

4. List this case on 02.07.2026.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

19th June, 2026
kunal/-