

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Appeal (DB) No. 1364 of 2025

Bambam Yadav	...	Appellant
	Versus	
State of Jharkhand	...	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI

For the Appellant	: Mr. Lalit Yadav, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Rajneesh Vardhan, A.P.P.

Order No. 04/ Dated: 7th August, 2026

I.A. No. 8481 of 2026

Heard Mr. Lalit Yadav, learned counsel for the appellant and learned A.P.P.

2. This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

3. The appellant has been convicted for the offence under Section 304(B) I.P.C. and has been sentenced to undergo R.I. for 12 years along with a fine of Rs. 10,000/-.

4. It has been alleged that the daughter of the informant died at her matrimonial house in unnatural circumstances.

5. Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant that there is no evidence on record to indicate that there was a demand made from the side of the appellant, soon before the death of his wife.

6. Learned counsel has referred to the post-mortem report while submitting that no signs of violence were found on the person of the deceased and the cause of death was opined to be on account of asphyxia due to hanging. Learned counsel adds that the appellant is in custody for about 3 years.

7. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

8. It appears that the deceased had died within 14 months from her marriage solemnized with the appellant. The evidence of the witnesses does indicate that there was a consistent demand of dowry made from the side of the appellant.

9. On consideration of the aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected at this stage.
10. Accordingly, I.A. No. 8481 of 2026 stands *rejected*.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

(ARUN KUMAR RAI, J.)

Dated: 7th August, 2026

Vedanti/-