

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 1218 of 2022

Anil Jha @ Anil Kumar Jha	...	Appellant
	Versus	
The State of Jharkhand	...	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant	: Mr. Rajesh Kr. Mishra, Advocate
For the State	: APP

08/15.04.2026

I.A. No. 4771 of 2026

Heard Mr. Rajesh Kr. Mishra, the learned counsel for the appellant and the learned A.P.P.

This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

The appellant has been convicted for the offences under sections 304-B/34 of the Indian Penal Code and has been sentenced to RI for life.

It has been alleged that the daughter of the informant had suffered burn injuries at her matrimonial house and it has further been alleged that in course of treatment she died.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant is second husband of the deceased and in fact, as per the evidence of PW-5, who is the Investigating Officer, it appears that the deceased was earlier married elsewhere. Learned counsel submits that there has been a considerable delay of more than 43 days in lodging the First Information Report and the appellant is in custody since 12.07.2019.

Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

The evidence of the witnesses does indicate that it was the appellant who was instrumental in the death of the deceased. The deceased had suffered burn injuries at the house of the appellant and the appellant has failed to explain the circumstances leading to her suffering such burn injuries.

On consideration of the aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected at this stage.

I.A. stands rejected.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

(PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA, J.)

15.04.2026

S.B. Uploaded on 16.04.2026