



2023:JHHC:44450

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**Civil Review No. 76 of 2021**

**with**

**W.P.(C). No. 4843 of 2021**

-----

**CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N. PATHAK**

For the Petitioners : Mr. Mahesh Tewari, Advocate  
Mr. Sarvendra Kumar, Advocate

For Respondents : Mr. Munna Lal Yadav, SC (L&C)  
Mr. Ashok Kr. Singh, Advocate  
Ms. Richa Sanchita, Advocate

-----

**09/ 19.01.2024** It has been submitted by Mr. Mahesh Tewari, learned counsel appearing for petitioner in Civil Review No. 76 of 2021 that though W.P.(S). No. 573 of 2016 was allowed in favour of the petitioner vide order dated 17.12.2018 and thereafter, when the order of the Court was not complied with, the petitioner was constrained to file contempt petition. In the contempt petition a wrong statement was made by the respondent particularly respondent-State as well as respondent No. 6 that order has been fully complied with and on their submission, the contempt was dropped.

However, it appears that the order of the Court was only partly complied since joining of the petitioner was accepted but payment of salary has not yet been made till date.

It has been brought to the notice of the Court by learned counsel for the petitioner that since petitioner was working in the said School since 1990 and thereafter, his initial appointment was disputed rather challenged and this Court vide order dated 17.12.2018 passed in W.P.(S). No. 573 of 2016 clearly held that the objection raised by the respondents cannot be accepted and the impugned order dated 12.04.2014 was quashed and set and petitioner was allowed to join the said post with all consequential benefit.

Mrs. Richa Sanchita, learned counsel for the respondent-JAC submits that in the year 2021 affiliation of the said School was cancelled.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State is not in a position to say whether earlier grant-in-aid was given to the concerned School or not.



2023:JHHC:44450

Under such circumstances, let a specific affidavit be filed by the respondent-State as well as by respondent No. 6 regarding grant-in-aid from the State mentioning therein the particular period for which amount was released by the State and what amount was received by the concerned School and whether payments were made to any of the teachers of the concerned School right from the date of their initial appointment and if payments were made to other teachers why the petitioner was deprived.

Let both the cases be listed on 23.02.2024.

**(Dr. S. N. Pathak, J.)**

kunal