

Cr. Appeal (D.B.) No. 1314 of 2025

Versus

...

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Arun Kumar Rai

For the Resp.-State: None

...

I.A. No. 10443 of 2026

04/ 02.09.2026 Heard Ms. Ashna Khanam, learned Counsel for the
appellant.

2. None appears on behalf of the State.
3. This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.
4. The appellant has been convicted for the offences under Section 366 IPC and Section 6 of the POCSO Act and has been sentenced to imprisonment for life along with a fine of Rs. 5,000/- for the offence under Section 6 of the POCSO Act.
5. It has been alleged that the victim was dragged by some of the accused persons and subjected to gang rape.
6. Though submission has been advanced by the learned Counsel for the appellant that the appellant is in custody

since 16.02.2022 and the medical report does not support the factum of rape and the FSL report also has not conclusively proved that rape was committed by the appellant upon the victim but regard being had to the evidence of the victim who has been examined as P.W.-1, who has categorically named the appellant as one of the persons who had subjected her to gang rape, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

7. I.A. No.10443/2026 stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J)

2nd of September, 2026
P.K.S./Suman
Uploaded