

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.7752 of 2025

....
 Shiv Kumar Bhadani S/o Late Kamal Pati Bhadani aged about 67 years, Resident of
 Village Belatand P.O. Baddiha P.S. Giridih (M) District Giridih, Jharkhand

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
 2. The Deputy Commissioner, Giridih, at Paparwatand, P.O. Karharbari, P.S. Giridih, (M) District Giridih Jharkhand
 3. The Superintendent of Police, Giridih, at Paparwatand, P.O. Karharbari, P.S. Giridih, (M) District Giridih Jharkhand
 4. The Officer-in-Charge, Giridih Town Police Station, P.O., P.S. & District-Giridih, Jharkhand
 5. Satish Pandey, S/o Bali Pandit R/o Puratan Shivalay, Darji Mohalla, P.O. Giridih, P.S. Giridih (T) District Giridih
 6. Bantu Pandey S/o Satish Pandey, R/o Puratan Shivalay, Darji Mohalla, P.O. Giridih, P.S. Giridih (T) District Giridih
 7. Ankit Chaurasia S/o Chamu Chaurasia R/o Laxmi Mohalla P.O. Giridih, P.S. Giridih (T) District Giridih
 8. Ritik Kumar Sinha S/o not known R/o Tiranga Chowk P.O. Giridih, P.S. Giridih (T) District Giridih
 9. Sumit Mishra S/o Satish Pandey, R/o Puratan Shivalay, Darji Mohalla, P.O. Giridih, P.S. Giridih (T) District Giridih
- Respondents

....
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arjun Narayan Deo, Adv.

: Ms. Karishma Kumari, Adv.

For the Resp.-State : Mr. Baibhaw Gahlaut, AC to AAG-V

....

02/21.01.2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed for the following relief:-

“For issuance of a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders direction/directions, commanding the respondents to show cause as to why they should not be directed to remove the generator set placed on the land of the petitioner by the private respondents in league with the Officer-in-Charge Giridih (T) Police Station and upon return of the Rule and after consideration of show cause if any, the Rule may be made absolute against the respondents.”

2. Complaint has been made that the land of the petitioner has been utilized by the private respondents at the instigation of the Officer-in-Charge of the concerned police station.

3. From the tone and tenor of the pleading, it is evident that it is a dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents. The allegation made is casual. Even the Officer-in-Charge has not been made party by name. No allegation can be made against the office.

4. The nature of dispute between the parties can be resolved only by the Civil Court.
5. In that view of the matter, I am not inclined to entertain the present writ petition. Accordingly, the same is, hereby, dismissed.
6. However, the parties are at liberty to work out their remedy in accordance with law.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

21.01.2026

Shahid/

Uploaded on 22.01.2026