

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No. 5406 of 2023

Manju Horo & Ors.	...	...	Petitioners
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand & Ors.	...	...	Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioners	-----	: Mr. Amritansh Vats, Advocate
For the Respondent-State		: Ms. Neha Pandey, AC to Sr. SC-III
For the Respondent-JSSC		: Mr. Sanjoy Piprawall, Advocate
		Mr. Prince Kumar, Advocate

02/21.11.2023 Ms. Neha Pandey, AC to Sr. SC-III appearing on behalf of the State respondents and Mr. Sanjoy Piprawall, learned counsel for the respondent-JSSC, jointly raise objection with regard to the maintainability of the writ petition primarily on the ground that the petitioners have not made out any case as to whether in pursuance of the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "**Satyajit Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of Jharkhand & Ors.**" and other analogous cases reported in **2022 SCC OnLine SC 954**, any candidate who has scored lesser marks than the petitioners have been shown above in the state-wise merit list and have been appointed on the post of Trained Graduate Teacher (TGT) in different subjects.

2. It is further submitted that in fact the petitioners have filed the present writ petition based on old district-wise merit list prepared for appointment of candidates for the said posts particularly prior to passing of the order by this Court in the case of "**Soni Kumari Vs. State of Jharkhand & Ors.**" [W.P.(S) No. **1387 of 2017**] and the aforesaid judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "**Satyajit Kumar**" (supra).

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, however, pray for

three weeks' time to file respective counter affidavits.

4. Considering the said prayer, put up this case under the heading "For Admission" on 08.01.2024.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

Manish