

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 9924 of 2025

Krishna Balmuchu Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand Opp.Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRASAD

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Ranjan Srivastav, Adv.
For the State : Mr. P.D. Agrawal, Spl.P.P.

03/16.02.2026 Heard Mr. Rajesh Ranjan Srivastav,
learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. P.D.
Agrawal, learned Spl.P.P. for the State.

2. The present bail application has been
filed on behalf of the petitioner for grant of regular
bail, who is in custody in connection with Tonto P.S.
Case No. 15 of 2025, instituted for the offence under
Sections 103(1), 238, 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. As per the F.I.R., dead body of the son
of the Informant was found on 07.05.2025 in a pond
and which was noticed by the Pump Operator of the
A.C.C. Cement Factory.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has
submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has
committed no offence. It is submitted that the father,
mother and brother of the deceased, namely Oreyia
Bodra, Surajmani Bodra and Satari Bodra respectively
have falsely alleged against the appellant for
committing the murder of the deceased Gurucharan
Bodra. It is submitted that the name of the petitioner

transpired mainly on the basis of confessional statements of the co-accused persons, namely Pandu @ Parti, Vishal Balmuchu and Karan Balmuchu, which have been recorded at Paragraph No.s 77, 86 and 83 respectively of the Case Diary. It is submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 03.06.2025, hence, he may be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail. It is submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R., but a strong suspicion has been shown against him by the father, mother and brother of the deceased, namely Oreyia Bodra, Surajmani Bodra and Satari Bodra respectively, in their statements recorded at Paragraph No.s 47, 48 and 49 respectively of the case diary. It is submitted that co-accused persons, namely Pandu @ Parti, Vishal Balmuchu and Karan Balmuchu, have confessed their guilt in their confessional statements recorded at Paragraph No.s 77, 86 and 83 respectively of the case diary and have stated the role played by the appellant, hence the prayer for bail of the appellant may be rejected.

7. Perused the F.I.R. and the Case Diary of this case and considered the submission of both sides.

8. It appears that the dead body of the son of Oreyia Bodra, i.e. the Informant, was found in the pond by the Pump Operator of the A.C.C. Cement

Factory. Later on, the Informant Oreya Bodra has identified his son.

9. It appears that during the course of investigation, strong suspicion was shown against the appellant by the father, mother and brother of the deceased, namely Oreya Bodra, Surajmani Bodra and Satari Bodra respectively in the statements recorded at Paragraph No.s 47, 48 and 49 respectively of the case diary.

10. Even from perusal of Paragraph No.s 77, 86 and 83 respectively of the case diary, which are the confessional statements of the co-accused persons, namely Pandu @ Parti, Vishal Balmuchu and Karan Balmuchu respectively, it would appear that they were allegedly involved in committing the death of deceased Gurucharan Bodra @ Krishna Bodra, i.e. son of the Informant.

11. It appears that save and except the confessional statement of the co-accused persons, there is nothing on record at this stage to show the involvement of the petitioner.

12. Under the circumstances, the petitioner, namely Krishna Balmuchu is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each, in connection with Tonto P.S. Case No. 15 of 2025, now pending in the Court of Ms. A. N. Marki, learned Judicial Magistrate - 1st Class, Chaibasa / or her

Successor Court, subject to the condition that one of the bailors must be own relative of the petitioner and the other bailor must have movable/immovable property in his/her specific name and on the condition that the petitioner and his bailors will submit their Adhar Cards and Mobile Numbers before the learned Court below, which he will always keep active and will not change during pendency of this case and will not leave the jurisdiction of the learned Trial Court.

(Sanjay Prasad, J.)

s.m.
Dated 16.02.2026