

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A No.9440 of 2025**

Kiran Kujur aged about 40 years, wife of late Julius Praveen Toppo, resident of 210/A, Mother Teresa Road, Zone no.06, Birsanagar, Town Jamshedpur, P.O & P.S. Birsanagar, District: East Singhbhum at Jamshedpur.

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... **Opp. Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. A. K. Kashyap, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Anurag Kashyap

For the State : Ms. Mohua Palit, A.P.P

For the Informant : Mr. R. P. Gupta, Advocate

06/Dated: 16th February, 2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The applicant, who is in custody since 05.07.2025, has approached this Court for grant of regular bail in connection with Birsanagar P.S. Case No.20 of 2023, registered for the offence under Sections 302/ 34 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of learned J.M., 1st Class, Jamshedpur.
3. *It has been submitted by the learned senior counsel for the applicant that complete set of F.I.R along with its enclosures have been annexed with the present bail application and there is no suppression on his/ her part.*

Innocence of the applicant has been claimed and undertaking has been given for participation in the trial. It has been argued by the learned senior counsel for the applicant that one of the co-accused namely, Godwin Tirkey, has already been enlarged on bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.09.2025, passed in B.A. No.8010 of 2025. Referring to the order of the trial court, it has been submitted that except suspicion, there is no other material. On the above basis, prayer for bail has been made.

4. On the other hand, learned A.P.P and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and from perusal of record, it appears that there is ante-mortem injury and there is material to suggest that this applicant has

participated in the crime.

6. Considering the nature of crime, manner of commission of crime and the materials available on record, I am not inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail, at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the applicant is rejected.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

16th February, 2026
Ravi-Chandan/-
Uploaded on 17.02.2026