

Sarthak Upadhyay			Appellant
	Versus		
Sanjay Upadhyay			Respondent

For the Appellant : Mr. Rajeeva Sharma, Advocate
For the Respondent : Mr. Gauatm Kumar, Advocate

Office note reveals that the district and State where the notice has to be served upon the sole respondent has not been mentioned. However, it appears that an interlocutory application being I.A. Cv. No. 3694 of 2025 has been filed by the appellant seeking modification of the order dated 09.01.2025 by virtue of which notice was issued to the sole respondent both under registered cover with A/D as well as ordinary process.

In the said affidavit, it has been stated by the appellant that the respondent is an employee of the Indian Armed Forces and the details of the address has been given and therefore, the modification which has been sought for is with respect to the service of notice upon the sole respondent through speed post in Postal Index No. 900345, C/o 56 Army Post Office as stated in paragraph-7 of the interlocutory application.

In view of the aforesaid, therefore, we modify the order dated 09.01.2025 to the extent that steps be taken by the appellant for service of notice upon the sole respondent through Speed Post in the address which has been mentioned in paragraphs 6 and 7 of the instant

interlocutory application. The period for taking steps for service of notice upon the sole respondent shall be within two weeks.

I.A. Cv. No. 3694 of 2025 stands disposed of

F.A. No. 01 of 2025

Let this case be listed after notices are served upon the sole respondent.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)

Umesh-Abhishek/-