

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI  
**L.P.A. No. 18 of 2025**

Anil Kumar                   ...           ...           ...           ...           ...           Appellant  
Versus  
The State of Jharkhand and others           ...           ...           Respondents

**CORAM:                   HON’BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
                                 HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR**

For the Appellant :           Mr. Manoj Tandon, Advocate  
                                          Ms. Akansha Priya, Advocate  
                                          Mr. Siddharth Ranjan, Advocate  
For the State:                 Mr. Aditya Kumar, AC to Sr.S.C.I

**04/Dated: 09.12.2025**

1. Notices issued for service to the private respondent nos. 5 to 9 have been received back unserved.
2. Though respondent no. 7 is said to have been served through his brother but there is no further endorsement made by the process server that the brother and the respondent no. 7 are residing jointly and in such circumstances, let fresh notices be issued for service upon respondent nos. 5 to 9 on their present and correct address through speed post with A/D as well as by ordinary process for which requisites etc. must be filed within one week.
3. Notice is returnable within four weeks.
4. The appellant is permitted to effect direct service upon the aforesaid respondents in accordance with the provisions of Order V Rule 9-A of the CPC.
5. List this case on 20.01.2026.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan, C.J.)**

**(Rajesh Shankar, J.)**