

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Revision No. 1551 of 2018

Anand Kumar Singh Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand

2. Priya Singh Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRASAD

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitesh Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mrs. Vandana Bharti, APP

For the O.P. No.2 : Mr. Anil Kumar Keshri, Advocate

18/Dated:06th May, 2026

The petitioner-Anand Kumar Singh and O.P. No.2-Priya Singh are present in Chamber pursuant to order dated 29.04.2026 passed by this Court.

2. This Court has interacted with the petitioner and O.P. No.2 in person. The father of the O.P. No.2-Sri Kameshwar Singh is also present in Chamber.

3. Mr. Jitesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mrs. Vandana Bharti, learned APP for the State and Mr. Anil Kumar Keshri, learned counsel for the O.P. No.2 are also present.

4. The O.P. No.2-wife has pointed out that suggestion was given before the learned Trial Court i.e., Principal Judge, Family Court, Dhanbad for settlement of case on payment of a sum of Rs.80,00,000/- (Rs.Eighty Lakhs) and also for returning of jewellerys. However, if petitioner pays even Rs.60,00,000/- (Rs.Sixty Lakhs) and jewellerys to her then the O.P. No.2-wife is ready for one time settlement.

5. It reveals from the order dated 29.04.2026 that petitioner had also offered Rs.10,00,000/- (Rs.Ten Lakhs) for one time settlement and today learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is also ready to pay reasonable amount.

6. The learned APP has produced Memo No.3865/L.S dated 04.09.2023 sent by the Senior Superintendent of Police, East Singhbhum, Jamshedpur by enclosing the Memo No.1916/2023 dated 03.09.2023 sent by the Police Inspector-cum-Officer In-charge, Parsudih Police Station, Jamshedpur by which the details of the property of the petitioner has been shown, as follows:-

“(1.)परसुडीह थाना अन्तर्गत बकुल रोड में करीब 12 डिसमिल जमीन में दोमंजिला मकान बना हुआ है, जिसके ग्राउण्ड फ्लोर में दो बेडरूम, एक हॉल, लेट्रिन बाथरूम एवं पूजा घर तथा प्रथम तल्ला पर तीन बेड रूम लेट्रिन बाथरूम एवं पूजा घर तथा हॉल अवस्थित है, उसके पीछे में कुछ खाली जमीन है। मकान एवं खाली जमीन का कीमत करीब 01 करोड़ रुपया।

(2.)पैतृक सम्पत्ति :- ग्राम- बिरमपुर, थाना- कोलवर, जिला- भोजपुर, बिहार में बँटवारा के बाद करीब आधा बिघा जमीन होने की बात बतायी गयी।

(3.)घर में लगे उपलब्ध सामान :-

i. पुराना लकड़ा का सोफा, कीमत करीब 10,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय

ii. सैमसंग कम्पनी का पुराना एल0 सी0 डी0 50 इंच का, कीमत करीब 48,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय

iii. एल0 जी0 कम्पनी का पुराना फ्रीज मॉडल 2009, कीमत करीब 12,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय

iv. एल0 जी0 कम्पनी का पुराना वाशिंग मशीन मॉडल 2018, कीमत करीब 8,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय

v. भोलटास कम्पनी का पुराना ए0 सी0 मॉडल 2019, दोनो का कीमत करीब 70,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय

vi. लकड़ी का डायनिंग टेबुल मॉडल 2014, कीमत करीब 12,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय

vii. लकड़ी का पलंग 02, कीमत करीब 70,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय

viii. लोहे का पलंग 01, कीमत करीब 8,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय

ix. लोहे का गोदरेज 02, कीमत करीब 10,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय

x. बुलेट मोटरसाईकिल जे0 एच0 05 ए0 एक्स0 3416, कीमत करीब 88,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय

xi. बलेनो मारुती सुजुकी कार डेल्टा मॉडल 2016 जे0 एच0 22 सी 9495 कीमत करीब 6,50,000 रुपया खरीददारी के समय”

7. However, the O.P. No.2-wife and her father have further submitted that the house of the petitioner is approx Rs.20 Crores and the petitioner has also got six acres of land in his native place at Aurangabad District in the State of Bihar also and the father of the petitioner is a retired Train Ticket Examiner and is having a double storied house at Jamshedpur.

8. This Court has also offered as to whether the both side can live together or not and although the petitioner-husband has submitted that he is ready for one time settlement or also ready to live with the O.P. No.2. However, the O.P. No.2-wife has submitted that it is not possible to live with the petitioner for the various reasons and also for the acts of mental torture and assault upon her.

9. Under the circumstances, the compromise is not possible in this case.

10. Today it has been informed that petitioner has paid Rs.50,000/- (Rs.Fifty Thousand) to the O.P. No.2 in the presence of her counsel, Mr. Anil Kumar Keshri, which is admitted by the O.P. No.2 also.

11. It has been informed that even there is an arrears of Rs.2,32,000/- (Rs.Two Lakhs Thirty Two Thousand) towards arrears of maintenance amount.

12. Both the parties are directed to file affidavit with regard to their assets and liabilities in terms of the judgment passed in the case of *Rajneesh Vs. Neha* reported in (2021) 2 SCC 324 and serve a copy of the same upon each other.

13. In the meantime, it will be open to the parties to explore the possibility of settlement, if any, otherwise the case will be heard on its merit in the Court on the next date fixed in this case.

14. Put up this case on 17.06.2026.

15. Call for the scanned copy of the Trial Court Record.

16. Personal appearance of the petitioner and the O.P. No.2 is dispensed with for the present.

17. In the meantime, the O.P. No.2 should allow the petitioner to meet with his daughter in the next week on school holidays at proper place for half an hour to one hour, subject to convenience of both the sides.

18. However, the petitioner is cautioned not to indulge in any wrong activity on seeing her daughter.

19. Learned APP is also directed to get ascertained the properties of the petitioner and his parents through Senior Superintendent of Police, Jamshedpur as well as in the district of Aurangabad in the State of Bihar.

20. Let a copy of this order be handed over the learned APP for the needful.

(Sanjay Prasad, J.)

Dated: 06.05.2026
Saket/-