

Chaman Oraon	...	...	Appellant
Versus			
1.Smt. Odil Munzani @ Munjni			
2. Deputy Commissioner of the District of Ranchi			
	...		Respondents

For the Appellant : Mr. Vakil Abhay Sahay, Advocate
For the Respondent-1 : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

20/04.05.2026 Respondent no. 2 has been served notice through his office clerk. Respondent no. 1 has been served notice through her husband.

2. The notice upon respondent nos. 1 and 2 is accepted as valid.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent no. 1 Mr. Rajesh Kumar submits that he shall file Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no. 1.
4. The learned counsel for the appellant submits that I.A. No. 3105 of 2025 has been filed seeking condonation of delay of 19 days in filing the present appeal.
5. The learned counsel for the respondent has no serious objection so far as prayer for condonation of delay of only 19 days is concerned.
6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and being satisfied with the cause shown, the delay of 19 days in filing the appeal is hereby condoned. I.A. No. 3105 of 2025 is accordingly allowed.
7. **ADMIT.**
8. The learned counsel for the respondent no. 1 Mr. Rajesh Kumar has undertaken to file Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no. 1 by 13.05.2026.
9. The records from the concerned court have already been received.
10. So far as respondent no. 2 is concerned, nobody is appearing. Office is directed to forward a copy of this order in the office of learned Advocate General so that an appropriate counsel be allotted to represent the respondent no. 2 in this case.

11. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, post this case for final disposal on 27.07.2026.

12. Parties to prepare short synopsis of their argument, list of dates, and also submit judgment which they seek to rely upon prior to the next date.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Binit/