

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Criminal Revision No. 987 of 2025

Gopal Singh @ Gopal Kumar Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand

2. Puja Singh ... Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Jitendra Nath Upadhyaya, Advocate

For the State : APP

Order No. 02

Dated 4th May, 2026

I.A. No. 1324 of 2026

Heard the learned counsel for the respective sides.

This application has been preferred by the petitioner for exemption to surrender in terms of Rule 159 of the Jharkhand High Court Rules.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been convicted for the offence u/s 498A I.P.C. and he is ready and willing to keep the opposite party No. 2 with him with full dignity and honour. It has been submitted that earlier also efforts were made by the petitioner to settle the issue, but on account of the non-cooperation on the part of the opposite party No. 2, the marital discord between the petitioner and the opposite party No. 2 could not be settled.

What could be gathered from the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner had earlier also made efforts, but the same did not succeed. The petitioner has once again expressed his willingness to settle the dispute with the opposite party No. 2, which cannot be acceded to in view of the fact that the criminal case was instituted by the opposite party No. 2 in the year 2016 and even in a course of a decade no settlement could be arrived at between the petitioner and the opposite parties.

There being no exceptional circumstances to exempt the petitioner to surrender, this application stands rejected.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY,J.)