

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5253 of 2026

1. Asha Devi, aged about 46 years, wife of Awdhesh Singh, resident of Ward No-1, Village Sohbariya. P.O.- Latdag, P.S.-Meral, District-Garhwa, Jharkhand.
2. Binda Devi, aged about 49 years, wife of Binod Singh, resident of Sohbariya, P.O.- Latdag, P.S.- Meral, District- Garhwa, Jharkhand.
3. Lalita Devi, aged about 49 years, wife of Visundev Singh, resident of Ward No-1, Village Sohbariya, P.O.- Latdag, P.S.- Meral, District- Garhwa, Jharkhand.
4. Fulvnti Devi @ Fulbanti Devi, aged about 32 years, wife of Pradeep Kumar Singh, resident of Ward No-1, Village Sohbariya, P.O.- Latdag, P.S.-Meral, District-Garhwa, Jharkhand.
5. Moharmani Devi @ Moharani Devi @ Mohrani Devi, aged 51 years, wife of Shambhunath Singh, resident of Ward No-1, Village Sohbariya, P.O.- Latdag, P.S.-Meral, District- Garhwa, Jharkhand.
6. Sumita Devi @ Sumita Kunwar, aged about 55 years, wife of late Dineshwar Singh, resident of Ward No-1, Village Sohbariya, P.O.- Latdag, P.S.-Meral, District- Garhwa, Jharkhand.

		...	Petitioners
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand			
		...	Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. A.K. Kashyap, Sr. Advocate.
	:	Ms. Komal S. Narayan, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mrs. Kumri Rashmi, A.P.P.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Pankaj Srivastava, Advocate.

03/ 17.09.2026 Mr. Pankaj Srivastava, learned counsel submits that he has received the vakalatnama on behalf of the informant, however, the same has not been filed before the Registry, as the matter is on the Board.

2. In view of his such submission, let the vakalatnama of the informant be taken on record.

3. Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P for the State and learned counsel appearing for the informant.

4. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Meral P.S. Case No. 172 of 2026, registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(2), 109(1), 352, 329(3), 303(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Garhwa.

5. Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the altercation took place due to land dispute and the entire family members have been made accused and in the FIR 17 accused persons are named. He next submits that there are general and omnibus allegations against all the accused persons. He further submits that all these petitioners are ladies. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be provided to the petitioners.

6. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State and learned counsel appearing for the informant have jointly opposed the prayer and submit that the allegations are there of making assault and pursuant to that assault, grievous injury has been received by the informant side. On these grounds, they submits that prayer for anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

7. On perusal of the FIR, it transpires that the allegations are there of making assault upon 17 named accused persons, however, it is not clear that pursuant to whose blow, the grievous injury has been received by the informant and further the petitioners are lady members of the family and the entire family members have been made accused in the present case.

8. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, above named.

9. Accordingly, the above-named petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned court within three weeks from today and

in the event of their arrest or surrender, they shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand)each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Garhwa, in connection with Meral P.S. Case No. 172 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-17.09.2026
Amitesh/-